



UNITED STATES D. ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stat s Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | O9/127,571 | O7/31/98 | VARGHESE | P | COMP: O016

001200 PM82/0410 AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD 711 LOUISIANA STREET SUITE 1900 SOUTH HOUSTON TX 77002

EXAMINER				
-	TRAN,K			
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED:

3634

04/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/127,571

Khoa Tran

Examiner

VARGHESE ET AL.

Group Art Unit 3634



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 29, 2001	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the poriod for recovery
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 22-32	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed
Claim(s)	is/are ariouts to
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
	Is/are objected to.
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 📙 approved 🗌 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	 •
received in this national stage application from the Inter *Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unc	
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO 1440, Present (s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	OLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/127,571

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on January 29, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/127,571 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 22-31 are drawn to a computer component rack, classified in class 211,
 subclass 26.
- II. Claim 32 is drawn to a support rail, classified in class 312, subclass 341.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions group I, as claimed, and Group II, as claimed, are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the support rails as claimed in claim 22 do not require to upper and lower sets of apertures for receiving fasteners. Further, the subcombination as claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/127,571

Art Unit: 3634

in claim 32 has separate utility in that the rails are capable of being used with a sliding drawer in a

cabinet.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. George W. Jordan III on April 09, 2001 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Khoa Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-3437. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday from 8:30 A.M. to

6:00 P.M.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597 or (703) 305-3598.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

If the applicant is submitted by facsimile transmission, applicants are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and M.P.E.P. 502.02). Ingeneral, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission.

Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check. Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (M.P.E.P 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and
Trademark Office (Fax No) on(Date)
Type or printed name of person signing this certificate:
(Signature)

Art Unit: 3634

Furthermore, please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Khoa Tran

April 05, 2001

DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Daniel P Stodola